

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,742	01/26/2004	Roger P. Wolf	03-006	8637
29883	7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
BARTONY & HARE			FERNSTROM, KURT	
LAW & FINANCE BUILDING, SUITE 1801 429 FOURTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219		E 1801	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,742	WOLF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kurt Fernstrom	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this commur Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet with	i the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s' - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this community NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		
	2b) This action is non-final.		
• •	for allowance except for formal matte ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	g the correction is required if the drawing(s to by the Examiner. Note the attached		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	o documents have been received. Or documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Nereleances Gleet (170-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04. 	PTO-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date cormal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 12, 20, 22-25 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foresman in view of Day. Foresman discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a device and method for teaching mathematics comprising a work surface 151 and a plurality of movable elements 101 and 102, where each element comprises a visible mathematical symbol and can be selectively placed on the work surface. Foresman frails to disclose that the pieces are slidable upon the work surface. However, this feature is well known in the form of magnetic display boards. Day discloses one example of magnetic pieces which may be pplaced on a board. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device of Foresman by providing a magnetic board and pieces for the purpose of providing a display which is more easily manipulated. With respect to claims 2 and 23, Foresman discloses a frame which defines the boundaries of the work surface. With respect to claims 4 and 25, it is not clear how the boundaries of the claim are defined. Foresman does disclose in Figure 2A that certain of the symbols are enlarged. With respect to claims 12 and 33, the elements are shaped such that the symbol can be

Art Unit: 3714

identified by touch. With respect to claim 20, each of the recited symbols is disclosed in Figure 1 of Foresman.

Claims 5-11, 13-19 and 26-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foresman in view of Day, and further in view of Olivera. Foresman as viewed with Day discloses all of the limitations of the claims with the exception of the features pertaining to the placement and storage of the elements. Olivera discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a display device and method comprising elements each having a symbol, where the elements are stored in stacked fashion in compartments around the perimeter of the device when not in use, each compartment containing a plurality of elements each having a specific symbol. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method of Foresman as viewed with Day by storing like elements in stacks in compartments around the perimeter of the display area for the purpose of making it easier for a user to selectively obtain and use a desired symbol in the display.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Foresman in view of Day, and further in view of Jackson. Foresman as viewed with Day discloses all of the limitations of the claim with the exception of the question mark and remainder symbol (Foresman discloses a decimal point 203C in Figures 2A and 2B).

Jackson discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a display device and method for teaching math comprising elements each having a symbol, including a question mark 11 and a remainder symbol 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method of Foresman as viewed with Day by

Art Unit: 3714

providing a question mark and a remainder symbol for the purpose of expanding the types of mathematical expressions that may be displayed.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foresman in view of Day and Olivera, and further in view of Jackson. Foresman as viewed with Day and Olivera discloses all of the limitations of the claim with the exception of the question mark and remainder symbol (Foresman discloses a decimal point 203C in Figures 2A and 2B). Jackson discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a display device and method for teaching math comprising elements each having a symbol, including a question mark 11 and a remainder symbol 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method of Foresman as viewed with Day and Olivera by providing a question mark and a remainder symbol for the purpose of expanding the types of mathematicl expressions that may be displayed.

Claims 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foresman in view of Day, and further in view of Foster. Foresman as viewed with Day discloses all of the limitations of the claims with the exception of the method of having a visually impaired student use the display. While the symbols of Foresman are usable by a visually impaired student, this method is not disclosed or suggested. However, Foster discloses in column 8, lines 39-50 a display board with pieces which have Braille markings on them. This feature is clearly intended for use by visually

Art Unit: 3714

impared students, thus suggesting the claimed method. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method of Foresman as viewed with Day by providing markings for visually impaired users for the purpose of allowing such users to learn using the device.

Claims 48-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foresman in view of Day and Foster, and further in view of Olivera. Foresman as viewed with Day and Foster discloses all of the limitations of the claims with the exception of the features pertaining to the placement and storage of the elements. Olivera discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a display device and method comprising elements each having a symbol, where the elements are stored in stacked fashion in compartments around the perimeter of the device when not in use, each compartment containing a plurality of elements each having a specific symbol. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method of Foresman as viewed with Day and Foster by storing like elements in stacks in compartments around the perimeter of the display area for the purpose of making it easier for a user to selectively obtain and use a desired symbol in the display.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith, Leslie, Bush, MacKay, Fox and Cress disclose various display devices and methods for teaching.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,742 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KF

February 7, 2006

KURT FERMSTROM

KAGE